

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/751,009 | Applicant(s) MCMANUS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner James W. Rogers, Ph.D. | Art Unit 1618 | |

All Participants:

(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

(2) Mark A. Wilson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 November 2006

Time: 12:00 PM and 2:20 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 35-53

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Rogers called Mr. Wilson originally on 11/7/2006 at around 12 PM to discuss a proposed amendment to the claims by the examiner, which the examiner felt would place them in better condition for allowance. The amendments consisted of canceling claims 35,38,46-49 and 52, rewriting claims 36,37 and 53 to be in independent form and bringing in the limitation of claim 38 that POLY is poly(ethylene glycol) into claims 36 and 37. Mr. Wilson called examiner Rogers back and agreed to these proposed amendments on November 13th at 2:20 PM.